

State Universities Comparative Overview | Assessment Period 2024–25

Government Universities (22)

[illegible]

Executive Summary

7 of 47 Haryana universities are ON TRACK. 8 are CRITICAL. 14 have failed to comply with the NEEV reporting process. The assessed cohort average is 31/100.

- **Private universities outperform government universities.** Private cohort average: 36/100. Government cohort average: 26/100. Private sector has 5 ON TRACK universities; government has 2.
- **Curriculum Alignment is the most consistently submitted parameter.** Nearly all assessed universities report non-zero curriculum scores. Most other parameters are blank for universities below 30/100.
- **Skill Enhancement, VOC and AEC is the weakest parameter overall.** Most universities score between 0 and 5 in this parameter. Institutions have built the infrastructure but have not enrolled students or mapped courses.
- **8 universities are in the CRITICAL zone (score below 10).** These universities require immediate intervention. All 8 have submitted data for fewer than 3 parameters.

Key Findings

- 14 universities have not submitted any data. They cannot be assessed. HED must issue compliance notices immediately.
- Placement data is absent for most universities. This is the primary student outcome metric and it is unverifiable for 70% of the cohort.
- Alumni Connect scores are uniformly low across both government and private universities. Institutional engagement with alumni is near-absent.
- Research output is concentrated in a few institutions. SRM (12), KUK (12), YMCA (12), SGT (12) account for a disproportionate share of the cohort's research score.
- Critical-zone universities score across a narrow range of 2 to 9. They all share a common pattern: one or two partially submitted parameters and everything else blank.

Supporting Observations

- **ABC adoption is uneven.** SVSU and CDLU exceed 99% registration. Several universities show zero ABC data. There is no middle ground.
- **MDC infrastructure is built but underutilised.** Multiple universities have offered MDC courses but have near-zero student enrolment. Availability does not equal adoption.
- **Specialist universities need a separate framework.** DLCSU (arts), SVSU (skill), NLU (law), MVSU (Sanskrit), Sports University (sports) and CCS HAU (agriculture) do not fit the standard assessment framework. Their scores do not reflect their mandate.
- **Government universities have higher non-assessment rates among specialist and agricultural institutions.** 4 of 20 government universities have not submitted data, including 3 specialist institutions. This reflects a systemic gap in reporting compliance, not just performance.
- **Private universities with the highest scores show strength across all parameters.** SRM (63), World University of Design (58) and SGT (53) score in at least 8 of 10 parameters. Their performance is broad-based, not concentrated in one area.
- **Several universities score well only on Curriculum Alignment.** DCRUST (7), GJU (9), MDU (6), Starex (7), IILM (14) and Om Sterling (15) have submitted curriculum data only. Their total score equals or nearly equals their curriculum score alone.